Name of Reviewer

## **SPA2005 Submission Review Form**

Ref Num: Working Title: Submitter(s): Session Type: Time:

Please indicate the theme this session fits and give some keywords to describe it e.g. Java, architecture

Theme		Keywords
People		
Process		
Technology		
Practice		

## Answer each question below as follows:

			eptable
		√?X	Comments
<b>Content</b> Wi	Is the subject to be covered clearly defined?		
	Will the subject be of interest to SPA participants?		
	Is the target audience appropriate?		
	Is the session's content technically sound?		
	Will the expected benefits for the participants outweigh those for the		
	presenter?		
	Are the session's benefits achievable?		
	Overall Assessment of content		
	Is the process to be followed clearly defined?		
	Will the process achieve the session's benefits in the time available?		-
	Is the process compatible with the session type?		-
	Are the materials to be provided by the session leader appropriate?		-
	Overall assessment of process	-	-
	Overall assessment of process		
Deliverables	Are session outputs accessible to people who didn't attend it?		
	Are they appropriate and useful?		
	Overall assessment of deliverables		
Subjective	Do you believe the session will be well organised?		
View of Quality	Do you believe the session will be well presented/led?		-
	Is this session risky but worth doing?		1
	Do you know the presenter(s) or their work?		-
	Overall Subjective assessment of quality		-
	Would you (or someone you know) go to this session?		4
		1	

- I would be prepared to accept this proposal
- I would be prepared to reject this proposal
- I would argue strongly to reject this proposal

## **Additional Comments**