## Being Lazy

## Peter Marks and Ben Moseley

## Goals

- Understand the functional paradigm, lazy evaluation and Monadic IO
- Learn some key Haskell idioms and style tips
o Experience software development in Haskell
- Haskell tutorial
- Demo of maths functions
- Sales pitch... though we are passionate
- Introduction
- Code scenarios
-Issues and pitfalls
- Break
- Live coding
- Wrap up


## The power of Haskell

- Purity
- Type system
- Laziness
o Rich syntax
- Sophisticated optimizer
- Extensible
o Extensive abstract libraries


## Barriers to learning Haskell

- Purity
- Type system
o Laziness
o Rich syntax
- Sophisticated optimizer
- Extensible
- Extensive abstract libraries


## Haskell

## A lazily evaluated, pure functional language

## Lazy evaluation

# $\max (x+5, y+5)$ <br> $(x+5) *(y+5)$ 

(Not Haskell)

## Lazy evaluation

$$
(x!=0) \& \& \quad(y / x>0)
$$

(Not Haskell)

## Lazy evaluation

$$
\text { foo } \begin{aligned}
\mathrm{x} \mathrm{z}= & \text { if } x /=0 \\
& \text { then }(\mathrm{z}>0) \\
& \text { else False }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lazy evaluation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { foo } \mathrm{x} \mathrm{z}= & \text { if } \mathrm{x} \quad 0 \\
& \text { then }(\mathrm{z}>0) \\
& \text { else False }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lazy evaluation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { foo } \mathrm{x} \mathrm{z}= & \text { if } x /=0 \\
& \text { then }(\mathrm{z}>0) \\
& \text { else False }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lazy evaluation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { foo } x \mathrm{z}= & \text { if } x /=0 \\
& \text { then }(z>0) \\
& \text { else False }
\end{aligned}
$$

foo x (y div` x)
foo x (div y x)

## Lazy evaluation

## Can define your own control structures:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { bool : : a -> a }->\text { Bool -> a } \\
& \text { bool t True }=t \\
& \text { bool f False }=f
\end{aligned}
$$

...replaces some uses of macros
contents <- bool
readFile
(throwError • ("Can't read:"++))
isAdministrator file

## Lazy evaluation

$$
[42,27, \text { head }[], 3]
$$

## Lazy evaluation

$$
[42,27 \text {, head }[], 3] \text { !! } 3
$$

## Lazy evaluation

$$
[42,27, \text { head }[], 3] \text { !! } 3
$$

## allSame xs = all (== head xs) xs

## Lazy evaluation

doubling a = a : doubling (a * 2)
take 9 \$ doubling 3
$[3,6,12,24,48,96,192,384$,
768]

Opportunities to be lazy

## Names and numbers

## Given a list of names print each one with its index in the list.

Q: How would you do this imperatively?
Q: How would you do this functionally?

## Names and numbers

```
names = ["Fred"," Jim","Bob"]
report ns = rep 1 ns
    where
    rep - [] = []
    rep i (n:ns)=(printf "Name %d is %s." i n) :
    (rep (i+1) ns)
```


## Infinite data structure

names = ["Fred","Jim","Bob"]
zipWith (printf "Name \%d is \%s.")
[1..length names]
names
["Name
Bob."] is Fred.", "Name 2 is Jim.", "Name 3 is

## Infinite data structure

```
names = ["Fred","Jim","Bob"]
zipWith (printf "Name %d is %s.")
    [1..length names]
    names
["Name 1 is Fred.", "Name 2 is Jim.", "Name 3 is
zipWith (printf "Name %d is %s.") [1..] names
```


## Infinite data structure

names = ["Fred","Jim","Bob"]
zipWith (printf "Name \%d is \%s.")
[1..length names]
names
["Name
Bob."] is Fred.", "Name 2 is Jim.", "Name 3 is
zipWith (printf "Name \%d is \%s.") [1..] names

Q: Why is using an infinite list better?

## Top 50

Find the top 50 elements of a 50000 list.

Q: What is the obvious way to do this?
Q: Would there be any issues with that approach?

## Avoid unnecessary worlk

| qsort []$=$ | [] |
| ---: | :--- |
| qsort $(x: x s)=$ | qsort (filter (> x) xs$)++$ |
|  | $[\mathrm{x}]++$ |
|  | qsort (filter $(<=\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{xs})$ |

## Avoid unnecessary worlk

qsort [] $=$ []
qsort (x:xs) = qsort (filter (> x) xs) ++ [x] ++
qsort (filter (<= x) xs)
top50 = take 50 . qsort

## Avoid unnecessary worlk

qsort [] $=$ []
qsort (x:xs) = qsort (filter (> x) xs) ++ [x] ++
qsort (filter (<= x) xs)
top50 = take 50 . qsort
vals <- take 50000 . randoms <\$> newStdGen

## Avoid unnecessary worlk

qsort [] = []
qsort (x:xs) = qsort (filter (> x) xs) ++ [x] ++
qsort (filter (<= x) xs)
top50 = take 50 . qsort
vals <- take 50000 . randoms <\$> newStdGen
qsort vals -- Takes 0.50 s in GHCi

## Avoid unnecessary worlk

qsort [] = []
qsort (x:xs) = qsort (filter (> x) xs) ++ [x] ++
qsort (filter (<= x) xs)
top50 = take 50 . qsort
vals <- take 50000 . randoms <\$> newStdGen
qsort vals -- Takes 0.50 s in GHCi
top50 vals -- Takes 0.14 s in GHCi

## Recursive definitions

- Recursive function:
len $x s=$ case $x s$ of

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
->0 \\
->1+\operatorname{len~(tail~xs)~}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Recursive definitions

- Cyclic values:
ones = 1 : ones


## Recursive definitions

- Cyclic values:
ones

$$
\text { = } 1 \text { : ones }
$$



## Recursive definitions

- Cyclic values:
ones $=1$ : ones

alternates = 1 : 0 : alternates


## Recursive definitions

- Cyclic values:
ones $=1$ : ones

alternates $=1$ : 0 : alternates
months = "Jan" : "Feb" : "Mar" : "Apr"
: "May" : "Jun" : "Jul" : "Aug"
: "Sep" : "Oct" : "Nov" : "Dec"
: months


## Recursive definitions

- Cyclic values:
ones $=1$ : ones

alternates $=1$ : 0 : alternates
months = "Jan" : "Feb" : "Mar" : "Apr"
: "May" : "Jun" : "Jul" : "Aug"
: "Sep" : "Oct" : "Nov" : "Dec"
: months
nMonthsAfter $m \mathrm{n}=$ dropWhile (/=m) months !! n


## Recursive definitions

- Cyclic values:
ones = 1 : ones

alternates = 1 : 0 : alternates
months = "Jan" : "Feb" : "Mar" : "Apr"
: "May" : "Jun" : "Jul" : "Aug"
: "Sep" : "Oct" : "Nov" : "Dec"
: months
nMonthsAfter $m \mathrm{n}=$ dropWhile (/=m) months !! n
nMonthsAfter "May" 25 ----> "Jun"


## Tom and Jerry

Define two types:

- Cat has a name and a victim (Mouse).
- Mouse has a name and a tormentor
(Cat).
Create instances:
- Cat: Tom whose victim is Jerry.
- Mouse: Jerry whose tormentor is Tom.

Q: How would you do this imperatively?
Q: Could laziness help?

## Cyclic graph

```
data Cat = Cat {
    victim :: Mouse
    }
    deriving Show
data Mouse = Mouse {
        mname :: String
        tormentor :: Cat
    }
    deriving Show
```


## Cyclic graph

```
data Cat = Cat {
    victim :: Mouse
    }
    deriving Show
data Mouse = Mouse {
        mname :: String
        tormentor :: Cat
    }
    deriving Show
tom = Cat {cname = "Tom", victim = jerry}
jerry = Mouse {mname = "Jerry", tormentor = tom}
```


## Powers of 2

## Define an infinite list of the powers of 2 using a cyclic definition

Q: How would you do this?

## Cyclic definition

powersOf2 = 1 : map (* 2) powersOf2
$[1,2,4,8,16,32,64,128,256,512,1024,20$ $48,4096,8192,16384,32768,65536,131072$ ,262144,524288...

## Cyclic definition

## powersOf2 = 1 : map (* 2) powersOf2



## Cyclic definition

## powersOf2 = 1 : map (* 2) powersOf2



## Cyclic definition

## powersOf2 = 1 : map (* 2) powersOf2



## Memoization

## Fibonnaci numbers are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[1,1,2,3,5,8,13,21,34,55,89,14} \\
& 4,233,377,610,987,1597,2584,4 \\
& 181,6765 \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Q: How would find the 'nth' one?

## Memoization

Q: How would you do this in Haskell?
fib $0=1$
fib $1=1$
fib $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{fi} \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{n}-1)+\mathrm{fi} \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{n}-2)$

## Memoization

Q: How would you do this in Haskell?
fib $0=1$
fib $1=1$
fi.b $n=$ fib $(n-1)+f i b(n-2)$
fib 30 takes about 4.3 s
fib 40 will probably take about an hour...

## Memoization

## Q: How would you do this in Haskell?

fib $0=1$
fib $1=1$
fi.b $n=$ fib $(n-1)+$ fib $(n-2)$
fib 30 takes about 4.3 s
fib 40 will probably take about an hour...

```
fib' \(\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{fi} \mathrm{bs}\) ! ! n
    where
    fibs \(=1: 1\) : zipWith (+) fi.bs (tail fibs)
```


## Memoization

## Q: How would you do this in Haskell?

fib $0=1$
fib $1=1$
fib $n=$ fib $(n-1)+f i . b(n-2)$
fib 30 takes about 4.3 s
fib 40 will probably take about an hour...
fib' $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{fibs}$ ! ! n
where
fibs $=1$ : 1 : zipWith (+) fibs (tail fibs)
..fib' 4000 takes 0.01 s according to GHCi :

## Reverse lines

Read lines from a large file, reverse the characters of each line and write the result to a new file.

Q: How would you do this imperatively?

## IO loop

```
main = do
    i <- openFile "input" ReadMode
    o <- openFile "output" WriteMode
    untilM_ (hIsEOF i) $ do
        l <- hGetLine i
        hPutStrLn o (reverse l)
    hClose i
    hClose o
```


## IO loop

main $=$ do<br>i <- openFile "input" ReadMode<br>o <- openFile "output" WriteMode<br>untilM (hIsEOF i) \$ do<br>l <- hGetLine i<br>hPutStrLn o (reverse l)<br>hClose i<br>hClose o

Q: How could you do it more elegantly?

## Lazy IO

main $=$ do
i <- readFile "input"
let o = unlines . map reverse . lines \$ i writeFile "output" o

Code is simpler...

## Lazy IO

main $=$ do
i <- readFile "input"
let o = unlines . map reverse . lines \$ i writeFile "output" o

Code is simpler... and still scalable.

## Lazy IO

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { main = do } \\
& \text { i <- readFile "input" } \\
& \text { let o = unlines . map reverse. lines \$ i } \\
& \text { writeFile "output" o }
\end{aligned}
$$

Code is simpler... and still scalable.
main $=$ interact
(unlines . map reverse . lines)
...if just want stdin / stdout, the above is even simpler

## Pitfalls

- Debugging
- Lazy IO gotchas - eg file handles
- Performance
- Harder to understand / predict
- Benchmarking
- Performance cost to creating thunks
- Space Leaks
- Too much laziness
- Too little laziness


## Pitfalls

foldl (+) 0 [1..100000]
5000050000

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 8,216,376 bytes copied during GC } \\
& \text { \%GC time } \\
& \text { bytes maximum residency ( } 4 \text { sample(s)) } \\
& \text { ( } 61.7 \% \text { elapsed) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Pitfalls

foldl (+) 0 [1..100000]
5000050000
$8,216,376$ bytes copied during GC
\%GC time
bytes maximum residency
$(61.7 \%$ elapsed)
foldl' (+) 0 [1..100000]
5000050000

> 4,180 bytes copied during GC
> 3,732 bytes maximum residency (1 sample(s)) \%GC time $2.2 \%$ (2.8\% elapsed)

## Pitfalls

foldl (+) 0 [1..100000]
5000050000
$8,216,376$ bytes copied during GC
\%GC time
bytes maximum residency
$(61.7 \%$ elapsed)
foldl' (+) 0 [1..100000]
5000050000
4,180 bytes copied during GC
3,732 bytes maximum residency (1 sample(s))

$$
\text { \%GC time } 2.2 \% \quad(2.8 \% \text { elapsed })
$$

foldl (+) 0 [1..100000]
5000050000
4, 152 bytes copied during GC
3,716 bytes maximum residency (1 sample(s)) \%GC time $2.3 \%$ (3.1\% elapsed)

## Pitfalls

of $=$ ("Report $\backslash n^{\prime+}+$ ) . unlines • map show

## Pitfalls

of $=$ ("Report $\backslash n^{+}+$) . unlines $\cdot$ map show
oif length xs >= 0 then $f(t a i l x s)$ else $f x s$

## Pitfalls

of $=$ ("Report $\backslash n^{+}+$) . unlines $\cdot$ map show
o if length xs >= 0 then $f(t a i l ~ x s) ~ e l s e ~ f ~ x s ~$
o if not (null xs) then $f(t a i l x s)$ else $f$ xs

## Pitfalls

of $=$ ("Report $\backslash n^{+}+$) . unlines $\cdot$ map show
o if length xs >= 0 then $f(t a i l x s)$ else $f x s$
o if not (null xs) then $f(t a i l ~ x s) ~ e l s e f ~ x s$
of (if not (null xs) then tail xs else xs)
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A larger example of laziness

## Mastermind

o Player 1 chooses a secret sequence of 4 tokens from a pool of 6 (no repeats).

- Player 2 makes a guess.
o Player 1 scores the guess indicating:
- How many are the correct token in the correct position
- How many are the correct token in the wrong position
- Play continues until the secret is guessed or player 2 gives up.



## aniwn yel
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- Lazy evaluation
- Infinite structures
-Avoiding unnecessary work
- Cyclic definitions
- Memoization
- Lazy IO
- Circular programming

